

FOR MYSORE STATE

Revenue (1953) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3187

Dated the 11th November 1953

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment - Temporary - (South
Kannada) - Dy. Tahsil office, Hosdurg
One lower division clerk - Further
retention - Sanctioned.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject:
ESTABLISHMENT--Temporary--(South Kanara)--Deputy Tahsildar's Office
Hoddrug--The Lower Division Clerk and one Peon--Retention without
Sanction--Ratification--Transfer of certain posts from Kasargod
Sanctioned--Requested.

Madras.

Ref.M. 4664/53-1.

Dated 29-8-1953.

Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Sri A.A. Rajaratnam, I.A.S.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Read: Letter from the Collector of South Kanara, Ref. C. A1.21766/52-S
dated 22-7-1953.

R.F. NO.:

Submitted to Government.

2. The Lower Division Clerk and Peon sanctioned in G.O.
No. Ms. 2169, Revenue, dated 13-9-47 were appointed on 5-12-47. So
the sanction for these posts expired by 5-12-48. The Lower
Division Clerk's post was continued beyond 5-12-1948 without
sanction. The peon's post, however, is reported to have been
retrenched in July 1948 during the general retrenchment of
peons. The main reason for the irregular retention of the
temporary post is stated to be that the Deputy Tahsildar had
shown the post in the pay bill for permanent establishment. It is
not clear how the irregularity escaped notice during the
preparation of the annual number statement. The Collector is
taking action against the persons responsible for the
irregular continuance of the post. The Collector requests
sanction for permanent retention of the post. The Board considers
that sanction for permanent retention cannot be accorded now
in view of G.O. No. 1769 Public (Andhra State) Department, dated
17-6-53 under which the question of permanent sanction for
posts should be postponed beyond 1-10-1953. The Board therefore
recommends that the Government may be pleased to sanction now
the temporary retention of the post of Lower Division Clerk
from 6-12-1948 to 31-12-1953. A further report will be submitted
after the results of the disciplinary action initiated by the
Collector is available.

3. In the circumstances stated in para 2 of the Collector's
letter cited, the Board recommends also that Government may be
pleased to sanction the transfer permanently of two posts of
Revenue Inspectors (Lower Division Clerk) with their peons and
the shroffs from the Taluk Office Kasargod to the Deputy
Tahsildar's Office Hoddrug.

4. The Collector of South Kanara is informed that as the
sanction for the peon's post referred to in para 3 of
his letter expired only on 5-12-1948 and as the post was
retrenched in July 1948 during the general retrenchment of
peons, no ratification of any irregular retention of that post
is necessary.

5. The Collector is requested to expedite the disciplinary
action against persons responsible for the irregularity and
submit a report as to how the irregular retention of the
post escaped notice all these years.

R. V. Subramanyam
for Joint Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras.
(With the Accountant-General's Office in and record of
the Collector of South Kanara.)

From

To

Sri A.Mahalingam Chettiar, B.A.
Collector of South Kanara.

The Secretary to the Board of
Revenue,
Land Revenue, Chopauk Madras 5.

Sir,

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT: Temporary--Dy Tahsildar's office Hosdrug--
one Lower Division clerk and one peon--continuance without sanction--
Ratification and transfer of certain posts--report submitted.

--
Ref: From the Accountant General Madras (1) T.2.B.SK.362 dated
9.1.51 and (11) T2B.S.K.abk.470 dated 11.9.52.

--
During the course of the investigation about the adequacy of
staff in the Dy.Tahsildar's office Hosdrug and about the discrepancy
in the statement of permanent establishment of that office pointed
out by the Accountant General in his references cited it has been
noticed that one L.D.C has been continuing in the Dy.Tahsildar's
office without sanction from 5.12.1947. The circumstances under which
this irregularity appears to have occurred are as follows:
2. In G.O.Ms.No.2169 Rev. dated 16.9.47 the Government sanctioned
the conversion of Hosdrug sub taluk into an independent one and the
opening of a sub treasury at Hosdrug with effect from 1.11.47. In
the same G.O the Government sanctioned the appointment of one L.D.C
and one peon for a period of one year from 1.11.47. They also sanction-
ed the conversion of the post of U.D.C into that of an Accountant
on Rs.110/- per month with effect from 1.11.47. The opening of the
sub treasury and the conversion of the post of U.D.C into that of an
Accountant on Rs.110/- were deferred till 1.2.1949 but the conversion
of the sub taluk into an independent one was given effect to with effect
from 1.12.47 and the temporary L.D.C and peon were appointed in anti-
cipation of Board's approval, to cope up with the increased work
which such conversion entailed. This fact was reported to the Board
in this office Ref.C.No.A1.14190-47 dated 28.11.47. (copy enclosed)
for ready reference). But no orders appear to have been received here
on the above report. The above matter was dealt with in the corres-
pondence Branch. The question of opening of the sub treasury was dealt
with in the accounts Branch and when the sub treasury was about to be
opened, a report was submitted direct to the Govt. from the Accounts
Branch in Ref.F.No.3531/47 dated 27.12.48 stating that the sanction
accorded in G.O.Ms.No.2169 Rev dated 16.9.47 to the appointment of the
L.D.C and peon and the conversion of the U.D.C's post into that of an
Accountant on Rs.110/- had not been given effect to and that as the
sanction had lapsed, fresh sanction might be accorded. Accordingly in
G.O.Ms.No.126 Rev. dated 19.1.49 the Govt. passed orders sanctioning
the appointment of one L.D.C and one peon for a period of one year and
the conversion of the post of U.D.C into that of an Accountant on Rs.110/
with effect from the date of opening of the sub treasury. The Sub
treasury was opened with effect from 1.2.1949 and the post of U.D.C was
converted into that of an Accountant on Rs.110/- with effect from
that date. With effect from the same date the L.D.C and peon sanctioned
in the G.O were also appointed. These two posts are continuing under
proper sanction. The sanction accorded last in G.O.Ms.No.174 Rev dated
17.1.53 will expire on 30.9.1953.
3. The L.D.C and peon appointed with reference to G.O.Ms.No.
2169 Rev dated 16.9.47 and this office Ref.A1.14190/47 dated 28.11.47
were continued beyond 7.12.48 (i.e date of expiry of one year from
5.12.47 the date of appointment) without applying for sanction. In
fact the Dy.Tahsildar showed them in the permanent establishment pay
bill and this appears to be the main reason for the omission to apply
for renewal of sanction. The Dy.Tahsildar in his report L.Dis.2292/51
dated 3.7.52 in connection with the Accountant General's objection has
reported that he had asked for ~~ratification~~ ratification for the unauthorised
continuance of the post in his Ref.A3.2292/51 dated 24.12.51 but no suc
report appears to have been received in this office. The L.D.C
continues still but the peon had been retrenched in July 1948 with
reference to the orders regarding the general retrenchment of peons.
Though the L.D.C has been continued without sanction there was
justification and need for the post. This is evident from the fact that
the work increased in the Dy.Tahsildar's office consequent on the
conversion of the Sub Treasury into an independent one and the
following further posts had to be sanctioned to cope up with the

(1) I.D.C. 1- I sanctioned in G.O.Ms.No.2536/ Rev. dated (ii) Typist -1. I 26.9.49 - The present sanction accorded to these posts in G.O.Ms.No.3015/ Rev. dated 13.11.52 will expire on 31.10.53. The work in the D.T.C. is still in an unsatisfactory state and there is need for the appointment of an U.D.C. to supervise the work of the clerks in the 'B' Section. A report is submitted separately in this connection with necessary statistics.

deal with separately.

4. Under the circumstances stated above I request that the Board may be pleased to obtain the orders of the Government ratifying the continuance of the post of L.D.C. appointed with reference to G.O.Ms.No.2169 Rev. dated 16.9.47. The post is quite essential for the normal work of the Government and it has been in existence for over 5 years. I request that the Board may be pleased to obtain the orders of the Government for making the post permanent with reference to G.O.No.780 Fin. dated 19.7.49.

report

5. For the reasons stated above I also request that the Board may be pleased to obtain the orders of the Govt. ratifying the continuance of the post of the peon appointed with reference to G.O.Ms.No.2169 Rev. dated 16.9.47 till its abolition in July 1949, with reference to the general orders of retrenchment.

6. I am taking action against the persons responsible for the irregular continuance of the posts of L.D.C. and peon.

7. The post of U.D.C. was converted into that of an Accountant at a fixed pay of Rs.110/- with effect from 1.2.1949. There is no fixation either in G.O.Ms.No. 2169 Rev. dated 16.9.47 or in G.O.Ms.No.126 Rev. dated 19.4.49 whether the conversion was permanent or temporary. The Deputy Tahsildar has shown the post of accountant in the permanent estt. pay bill with effect from 1.2.49. in the place of the post of U.D.C. It has also been shown as permanent in the annual Estt. statement rendered to the A.G. as on 1.4.49 onwards. Confirmation have also been made in that post with effect from 1.12.49. If the intention of the Government was that the conversion was to be a permanent one no action is called for. But if the intention of the Government was that the conversion was to be a temporary one i.e. for one year from 1.2.1949. Then the continuance of the post beyond 31.1.1950 has to be ratified by the Government. This is a post which is in existence now for over 4 years and as it is necessary for the normal work of the day the Board may be pleased to address the Government to make the post permanent with reference to G.O.No.780 Fin. dated 19.7.1949. As confirmation in the post have already been ordered with effect from 1.2.1949, I request that the post may be made permanent with effect from 1.2.49 lest complications should arise in future.

8. Consequent on the conversion of Hosdrug sub taluk into an independent one with effect from 1.12.47 the posts of the 2 R.I. (L.I.Cs.) of Hosdrug and Nilleshwar with their peons, have to be shown in the permanent establishment of the Deputy Tahsildar Hosdrug through the Deputy Tahsildar Hosdrug has been drawing their pay in his permanent estt. pay bill with reference to the Puttur R.D.O's Ref.D.5782/47, dated 24.12.47. (copy enclosed) The posts are still shown in the permanent Annual Estt Statement of the Tahsildar Kasargod rendered to the A.G. every year for want of formal orders of the Government transferring the posts to the permanent estt. of the D.T.Hosdrug. The Accountant General has noticed this discrepancy. *Beside*

there are no formal orders ^{order} of the Government transferring one of the 2 permanent posts of shreiffs borne on the establishment of the Tahsildar Kasargod to that of the Dy. Tahsildar Hoshnagar with effect from 1.2.1949. Though one of these shreiffs is actually working in the Hoshnagar sub Treasury with effect from 1.2.49. One post of shreiff is permanently required for the Sub Treasury Hoshnagar. To avoid the variations pointed out by the Accountant General I request that formal orders of the Government may be obtained to transfer permanently the 2 posts of 'Revenue Inspector (L.D.C's)' with their peons and one of the 2 posts of Shreiffs from the permanent establishment of the Tahsildars Kasargod to that of the Dy. Tahsildar Hoshnagar.

1.8 The Accountant General's objections cited above and the records of enquiry relating thereto are enclosed for reference and return.

Yours faithfully,

H. Naraini
For Collector. *m/7*
m/23/7

5

dt. 28-11-47

Copy of letter Ref A1-14190-47 to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue L.R. and C.T Madras.

Jurisdiction - S.Kanara - Kasargod Taluk - Hosdurg Sub Taluk
Conversion into an Independent Dy. Tahsildar's charge with effect from 1.12.47 Entertainement of Temporary staff.

Ref: G.O.Ms No. 2169 Rev. Dated 16.9.47. (R)
2. This office Ref A1. -14190 -47 dated 16.x.47 \
3. Board's Ref W 9947-47-1 dated 4.11.47
4. This office refce. A1 -14190-47 dated 6.11.47. /

In the G.O. cited first above the Government have sanctioned the employment of an U.D. Accountant one Lower Division Clerk and one peon with effect from 1.11.47, the date of conversion of the Hosdurg sub Taluk into an independent one. But in my reference cited last above I have reported that the Dy. Tahsildar Hosdurg will assume independent charge with effect from 1.12.47. The opening of a sub treasury at Hosdurg has been deferred for the present for the reasons stated in my reference cited second above.

2 The Dy. Tahsildar Hosdurg reports that with the conversion of the Dy. Tahsildar's office into an independent one even without the sub treasury from 1.12.47 will involve the transfer of all the revenue work of the sub taluk and that it will be impossible for the existing staff of that office to manage the increased work. He therefore requests that he may be furnished to employ at least the one Lower Division Clerk and peon sanctioned in G.O.Ms No. 2169 Rev. dated 16.9.47 with effect from 1.12.47. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tutur supports the Dy. Tahsildar's request.

3. I have given due consideration to the request of the Deputy Tahsildar Hosdurg and I consider that the employment of one additional clerk and one peon with effect from 1.12.47 is quite necessary to cope with the increased revenue work of this heavy sub taluk and in anticipation of sanction I am appointing clerical and menial the temporary staff. I request that my action may be approved.

Pl. issue with
encl.
14/11/53

C. No. 73697L/53

1,002-16-2-53.

Revenue Department.

READ.

G.O. Ms. No. 2169 Rev of 16-9-47
~~3015 Rev of 13-11-52.~~

From the Board of Revenue, Reference No. L. 4664/53 dated 29-8-53.

G.O. Ms. No. ~~2984~~, dated ~~29-8-53~~ Canceled
e.g.O. NO. 3187-01-171153

The Government sanction the employment/retention of the additional staff specified in the Annexure below on the scales of pay and for the periods, etc., mentioned therein. The staff sanctioned will be eligible to draw the dearness allowance and other allowances admissible to them under the rules.

2. The expenditure should be debited to the appropriate sub-heads under "25. General Administration".

3. The Govt. accept the recommendation of the Bd of Rev. and direct that the posts of the two R.I. (C. & C. level) one scribe and two persons be transferred permanently from the Taluk office, Kanaragod to the Dy. This office, Hosdurg.

4. The further report of the Bd of Rev. regarding the disciplinary action taken against the person responsible for the irregularity is awaited.

5. As regards the post of U.d. Accountant referred to in the Collector's letter Ref. C. No A1. 21766-52-6 of 22-7-53 the Collector is to future the same as no fresh orders for treating this post as permanent is necessary as the Govt. have not stated the conversion of this post to that of an U.d. Accountant is temporary.

To the Board of Revenue. (C.R.) with enclosure referred to (N)
Accountant-General, through Finance Department.
Collector of South Kanara.

18X53
19/8/53

ANNEXURE

Office in which sanctioned. (1)	Staff sanctioned. (2)	Government Order in which last sanctioned. (3)	Purpose. (4)	Scale of pay. (5)	Period of present sanction. (6)
L.F	one L.d.c.	G.O Ms. No. 3015 Rev of 13-11-52	To cope with the increase in the conversion of the South Kanara into an independent one.	Rs 45-90	From 1-11-53 to 31-3-54 or till the necessary for the staff clear which ever is earlier
CF	one typist	G.O. Ms. No. 2169 Rev of 16-9-47 (R)	General increase in work.	Rs 45-90	From 6-12-48 to 31-3-54 or till the necessary for the L.d.c. v clear which ever is earlier

y. This office
South Kanara
Dy.

16.X.53

Revenue Department.

**

Subject:

ESTABLISHMENT - Temporary (South Kanara) Deputy Tahsildar's Office,
Hosdrug - Inclusion of temporary post in permanent establishment
Disciplinary action - Report.

Ref: No. M/C.4664/53-5.

Madras. Dated the 25th February 1954

From: Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue
Sri P. Sivasankaran Pillai, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue

Read: Government Memorandum No. 2183-G/54-1, Revenue, dated 12-1-54
Board's Ref. No. M/C.4664/53-4, dated 29-1-1954.
Collector of South Kanara D.O. Al 20290/53 dated 18-2-1954.

Reference:

The Collector has requested time till 31-3-1954 to submit his report. The Board's report to Government will be submitted soon after the receipt of the Collector's report.

for Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras.

ARS.25/2/54.

Copy of D.O. No. Al.20290/53 from the Collector of South Kanara dated 18-2-1954.

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Temporary (South Kanara) Dy. Tahsildar's Office, Hosdrug - Inclusion of temporary post in the permanent establishment - Disciplinary action against those responsible - Report.

Ref: Your D.O. letter M/C.4664/53-3, dated 30-1-1954.

Preliminary investigation is being made to fix responsibility for the irregularity. Charges have to be framed thereafter against the persons responsible. I shall submit my further report by 31-3-1954.

(True copy)

for Secretary.

ARS.25/2/54.

To U.S.

Rev. Dept.

The further report promised by the Board above, may be awaited till 15-4-54

Ref. -
- B. 54
- 6.3-54.

(e) rep
- 6/3/54.

Revenue Department

Subject



Establishment-temporary-S.Kanara-Deputy Tahsildar's office
Hosdrug-one temporary lower division clerk-retention without
sanction-disciplinary action-report.

Station: Madras

Ref.C.4664-53-6

Dated 28-4-1954.

From

Sri.W.R.S.Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land
Revenue.

Sri.P.Sivasankaran Pillai, I.A.S., Secretary (L.R.)

Read:- Govt.Memo 2183-G-54-1 Rev. dated 12-1-1954.

below Collector of South Kanara Ref.A1.20290-53 dated 8-4-54.

Reference.

Submitted to the Government.

2.A further report is awaited from the Collector of
South Kanara.

3.The Collector is requested to report the result
of the charges framed against the Deputy Tahsildars concerned.
The Collector has stated that in the annual number statement
submitted by the Deputy Tahsildar in 1948 after the entertain-
ment of the lower division clerk, the number of lower division
clerks post was shown as 3, instead of 2 and that the correct
figure was adopted in the Collector's office. The Collector is
requested to state why this mistake was not pointed out to the
Deputy Tahsildar, and to take action against those responsible
for this omission, and to report the result of the action taken,
as early as possible.

Trv-dm-ru
for Secretary.

To: The Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department, Madras 9

To: The Collector of South Kanara
(para 3 only)

ta.29.4.

Copy of Ref.A1.20290-53 dated 8-4-1954 from the Collector of
South Kanara.

Ref:-1.Board's Ref.M.4664-53-1 dated 29-8-1953.
2. .do. 4664/53.2 dated 2-12-1953.
3.G.O.No.3187 Rev. dated 17-11-1953.

.....

The main reason for the omission to apply for sanction
for the continuance of one temporary lower division clerk in the
Deputy Tahsildar's office, Hosdrug, was that this post was shown
in the pay bill for permanent establishment. This Lower divi-
sion clerk joined on 5-12-1947. Though the post was sanctioned
temporarily for one year the Deputy Tahsildar showed this clerk
in the pay bill for permanent ~~sancti~~ establishment for the month
of December 1947. The permanent sanctioned strength of lower
division clerks in the Deputy Tahsildar's office in Dec.1947

pto

was only 2. But it was shown as 3 in the pay bill. The pay for this clerk should have been drawn in the bill for permanent establishment but in a separate bill for temporary establishment. The Deputy Tahsildar who is responsible for this initial mistake is one Sri. R. S. Subramaniam. He is not in service, now having been subsequently dismissed. From 5-12-1948 the clerk was continued without sanction. I have framed charges against all the persons who worked as Deputy Tahsildars from 5-12-1948 to show cause why the cost involved to the Government during their respective periods should not be recovered from them.

2. The first number statement prepared after the entertainment of this temporary clerk relates to 1948. The Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdrug showed 3 lower division clerks in the statement submitted by him to the Collector. This figure was, however, not adopted in this office, but the correct figure of 2 was adopted. The mistake of the Deputy Tahsildar, was, however, not pointed out to him. In the subsequent years, statement in respect of lower division clerks not called for from the subordinate officers for the preparation of the annual number statements. Hence there was no occasion to notice the mistake being continued by the Deputy Tahsildar Hosdrug.

Sd. x
for Collector. x

--True copy--

T. S. Subramaniam
for Secretary.

ta. 29.4.

To U.S.

Rev. Dept.

In view of x on p. 4 ant,
Mr. Board's further report may be awaited
till 1-7-54.

18.5.54
61
18.5.54

RMP
18.5.54

Revenue Department
Subject

Establishment - Temporary (South Kanara) Deputy Tahsildar's
Office, Hosdurg - Temporary Lower Division Clerk and
Continuance without sanction



Station: Madras

Ref. C. 4664/53.10-

Dated 13/9-54

Sri W.R.S. Jattianathan, I...S Commissioner of Land Revenue
Sri P. Sivasankaran Pillai, I...S., Secretary, Board of Revenue

Read: - Govt. Memo No. 2183 GH/54-4, Revenue d/5-9-54

REF. NO.

A copy of Collector's letter No. ref. 20290/53
dated 6-3-54 is submitted herewith.

for Secretary

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras
(With encl.)

VA/13-9

Copy of Ref. No. A1.20290/53 d/6-8-54 of the Collector
South Kanara.

MC Ref: Board's Ref. C. No. 4664/53-7 d/26-7-54

In this Office ref. C. No. A1.20290/53 dated 3-4-54
charges were framed against five Deputy Tahsildars who worked
as Deputy Tahsildars at Hosdurg since 5-12-48 to show cause
why the cost involved to the Government during their respective
period should not be recovered from them. The explanation
of one of the Deputy Tahsildars has been received. The
remaining Deputy Tahsildars perused the connected records
on 26-7-54 and their explanations are awaited.

2. Sri K. Govinda Rao was the clerk of the Treasury
Section who prepared the number statement. Sri M. Shesha Giri
Naik was the Muzur Head Accountant who passed it and
Sri I. S. Sekaran was the Treasury Deputy Collector who
approved the Number Statement in 1948. Of these, Sri M. Shesha
Giri Naik retired in the year 1949 and Sri I. S. Sekaran is
no more. I am asking the Treasury Deputy Collector to take
action against the clerk concerned who failed to point out the
discrepancy to the Deputy Tahsildar, when it first occurred
in 1948. The result of the action will be reported to
the Board in due course.

(True Copy).

R.D.

VA/13-9

To W.S.

for Secretary

~~Subtd. This may lie over till
the Board's further report is received, which may
be awaited till 1-10-54.~~

SS
13/9/54

Revenue Department.

**

Subject:

ESTABLISHMENT - Temporary - (South Kanara) - Deputy Tahsildar's Office ,
Hosdrug - Lower Division Clerk - Continuance without sanction - Disciplinary
action - Report submitted
=====

BB.Rt.No.4837

Madras-5

Dated the 20th September 1955.

From: . Sri M.V. Subramanian, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue & Development

Sri P. Sivasankaran Pillai, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue (LR)

Read: G.O.Ms.No.3187, Revenue, dated 17-11-1953
Board's Ref.No. 4664/53, dated 2-9-1955
From the Collector of South Kanara D.Dis 32254/55, dated 20-8-1955.

Resolution:

Submitted to the Government for information in continuation
of Board's reference cited above.

In the circumstances, no further action is necessary.

[Signature]
for Secretary. 27953

To

✓ The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department. Madras-9

ARS.20/9/55

b ✓

Copy of letter No.D.Dis.32254/54 from the Collector of South Kanara, dated
20-8-1955.

—

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Temporary -(South Kanara) - Deputy Tahsildar's Office,
Hosdrug - Lower Division Clerk - Continuance without sanction - Disci-
plinary action - Report submitted.

Ref: (1) Board's Ref.No.C.4664/53-11, dated 27-5-1955.

(2) This Office Proceedings, Ref.Al.32254/54, dated 17-8-1955.

--

we
hh 7-8 out
ilw

I enclose a copy of my proceedings cited, in which orders were
passed on the explanations of the officers against whom charges were framed.
I have warned the officers to be more careful in future, as I consider that
there are no grounds for a more serious punishment under the circumstances.

(True copy)

p.t.o.

24/9
27/9

COPY OF

Ref.A1.32254/54

Proceedings of the Collector of South Kanara,
Mangalore, dated 17-8-55.
Sri M.G. Raja Ram, I.A.S.

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT - Temporary - Deputy Tahsildar's Office, Hosdrug -
One Temporary Lower Division Clerk - Retention without sanction
charges - Explanation - Orders passed.

- Ref: 1. This Office Ref.A1.32254/54, dated 31-12-54.
2. This Office Ref.A1.32254/54, dated 24-4-55.
3. Explanation dated 10-1-55, and 21-6-55 from Sri M. Kunhamed,
Special Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdrug
4. Explanations, dated 18-1-55, and 5-5-55 from Sri K.C.Balakrishnan
Nair, Special Deputy Tahsildar, for assignment, Puttur.
5. Explanations, dated 3-2-55 and 1-7-55 from Sri P.Kunhi Anandan Nair,
Forest Deputy Tahsildar, Kasargod.
6. Explanations dated 16-2-55, and 20-6-55 from Sri H.Ramesh Rao,
A.H.H.C.(Development), Collector's Office, Mangalore.
7. Explanations dated 10-4-55, and 5-7-55 from Sri M.P.Krishnan Nair,
Tahsildar, Kasargod and
8. The connected orders.

In this office, Memo cited, first charges were framed against the following persons, that while working as Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdrug, they contained the post of a temporary Lower Division Clerk, sanctioned in G.O.No.2169, dated 16-9-47, without sanction of the Government for its continuance beyond 4-12-48, and that they drew the pay of the post during the period they held office as Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdrug. There were directed to submit their explanations why their increments should not be stopped for a period of one year each with cumulative effect. The period for which each is responsible is mentioned below:—

	From	To
1. Sri M.P. Krishnan Nair	3-9-48	3-5-51
2. Sri H. Ramesh Rao	4-5-51	20-9-51
3. Sri P. Kunhi Anandan Nair	11-11-51 28-4-52 21-8-52	16-3-52 and 1-4-52-16-4-52 6-8-52 17-11-53
		i.e. the date of the G.O. in which the retention of the post was sanctioned.
4. Sri K.C.Balakrishnan Nair	21-9-51 17-3-52	31-10-51 25-3-52
5. Sri M. Kunhamed	26-3-52 17-4-52 7-8-52	31-3-52 27-4-52 20-8-52

After perusing their explanations my predecessor, in office issued a notice based on his provisional conclusion in this Office Ref.A1.32254/54, dated 24-4-55, directing them to show cause why their increments should ~~be~~ not be stopped for a period of 6 months without cumulative effect on the charges referred to above. I have perused the explanations submitted by them. The sum and substance of their explanations is that they were not able to detect the omission to obtain sanction for the continuance of the post as the original sanction, which was received long before they took charge, did not find a place in the Register of temporary establishments, maintained in that office and that the pay for the post was being drawn from the very start in the pay bill,

for p.t.o.

for the permanent Establishment and not in a separate bill quoting the G.O. in which sanction was accorded to the post as required. They, therefore, pray that they may be exonerated from the charges framed against them.

3. Having perused the connected records and the explanations of the persons concerned it is observed as follows:—

The post of one temporary L.D.C., was sanctioned in G.O. Ms. No. 2169, dated 16-9-47, and the sanction for the post expired on 4-12-48. The post was allowed to continue beyond that date without obtaining sanction of the Government for its further retention. The irregular continuance of the post came to light only in 1953, during the course of investigation about the adequacy of staff in the Deputy Tahsildar's office, Hosdurg. The main reason for the omission to apply for renewal of sanction was that this post was shown in the pay bill for the permanent establishment. The Lower Division Clerk, joined duty on 5-12-47. Though the post was temporarily sanctioned for only one year, the pay for the post was drawn in the very first month, i.e., December 1947, in the pay bill, for the permanent establishment. The pay for the post should not have been drawn in the bill, for the permanent establishment but under a separate bill for temporary establishment quoting the G.O., in which sanction for appointment was accorded. If this had been done, the date of expiry of sanction could have been watched and action taken for renewal of sanction. This initial mistake occurred when Sri R.S. Subramanyam was the Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdurg. He was later dismissed from service in 1950. Ordinarily it is a serious irregularity to continue a post without sanction of the Government and to draw the pay for it, when no sanction exists for its continuance. But in this case, all the five persons against whom charges have been framed were misled by the fact that the pay for the post was being drawn in the pay bill for the permanent establishment long before they took charge and no entry relating to the post was also found in the Register of temporary establishments, maintained in that office. Though sanction of the Government was omitted to be obtained, a perusal of the records show that there was real need for the post ever since the post was first sanctioned and the post continues even to this day. In addition to this post further temporary staff was also sanctioned for the office to cope with the increase in work. Hence no monetary loss was actually caused to Government by the irregular continuance of the post.

Sri M.P. Krishnan Nair who succeeded Sri R.S. Subramanyam took charge nearly 9 months after the pay of the post for the first month was drawn in the bill for the permanent establishment and the mistake was being repeated every month till he assumed charge as Deputy Tahsildar. Though he worked as Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdurg, for a pretty long period of two years, and eight months he states that the omission escaped his notice as he was misled by the wrong classification of the post as permanent and as he could not go deep into the matter beyond verifying the office copies of the pay bills for the previous months as the work of the Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdurg, was unusually heavy during that period. Sri H. Ramesh Rao states that he had sent up a report about this irregularity but unfortunately this report does not appear to have been actually received in the Collector's office. Sri P. Kuphi Anandan Nair, who succeeded Sri H. Ramesh Rao brought the matter to light and the irregular continuance of the post was ultimately ratified by Government during his term of office, as Deputy Tahsildar, Hosdurg. Sri K.C. Balakrishnan Nair, had worked as Deputy Tahsildar, for only a month and a few days and Sri M. Kunhamed, for less than a month and during these periods they had signed only one pay bill each. Though all the five officers are technically guilty of the charges framed against them their guilt is mitigated by the fact that they had no reasonable opportunity to detect the omission on account of the irregularities committed by their predecessors in office especially. Sri R.S. Subramanyam, who is no longer in service, now. Further no monetary loss was caused to Government by the irregular continuance of the post.

In the result I am of the opinion that there is no ground for a serious punishment to these officers, except Sri R.S. Subramanyam who is no longer in service. It would be sufficient punishment if the remaining four are warned. They are accordingly warned to be more careful in future.

Sd. M.G. Raja Ram,
Collector. 17-8-55.

(True copy)

[Signature]
for Secretary.

20/9/55
20/9/55
20/9/55

C. No. 736974

Com. H 11-17 of 9 (R) 2169 Rev of 16-9-47.

of READ: - From the Board of Revenue, Sd/- W. 6664/53, 9 29.8.50.

From the Board of Revenue, Reference No. _____, dated _____

G.O. Ms. No. _____

, dated _____

The Government sanction the employment/retention of the additional ^{lower division} specified in the Annexure below on the scales of pay and for the periods, etc., mentioned therein. The staff sanctioned will be eligible to draw the dearness allowance and other allowances admissible to them under the rules.

2. The expenditure should be debited to the appropriate sub-heads under "25. General Administration".

3. The Govt. accept the recommendation of the Bd of Revenue & direct that the posts of two Revenue Inspectors (Lower Division class), one through & two persons be transferred permanently from the Taluk office, Karagod to the Dy. Tehsildar's office, Hosdurg.

A copy of the further report of the Bd of Revenue regarding the disciplinary action taken against the persons responsible for the irregularity is invited.

To the Board of Revenue (LR) with a file ret'd.
Accountant-General, through Finance Department.
Collector of South Kanara.

ANNEXURE

Office in which sanctioned. (1)	Staff sanctioned. (2)	Government Order in which last sanctioned. (3)	Purpose. (4)	Scale of pay. (5)	Period of present sanction. (6)
Dy. Tehsildar's office, Hosdurg, South Kanara dt.	one lower division class	Go No. 2169 Rev of 16-9-47 H 11-17 of 9 (R)	General increase in wages.	As 45-90 p.m.	From 6.12.44 to 31-12-50.

Note: - A C.B.E. will be made with reference to para 6 of the draft order. The draft order may be sent to Finance for acceptance.

HL
21.8.50

What action necessary with regard
the White's report?

in
24/9/53.

T. 23
—

At the time the conversion of the
~~White's~~ Hodding sub. taluk was
converted into an independent sub. taluk
there was a permanent post of L. d.
class in the Hodding Dy. Tahsildar's office.
The Board recommended the temporary
conversion of the post into an office
conversion accounts post. Subsequent
orders were issued in G. O. no. 2169 of 16.9.53
& subsequently when fresh
sanction for the same was issued
in G. O. no. 128 of 19.1.54, the fact
that the conversion was temporary
was not mentioned. The post has
therefore been treated as permanent
by the District - Tahsildar & Comptroller
has also been made in the post
from 1-2-54. As the post is reported
to be necessary for attending to the
normal work in the office it is for
orders whether the post may continue
to be permanent. As the Govt. have not
stated that the conversion is temporary
no fresh orders for treating the post
as permanent seem necessary. In view
of the above the L. d. has been
continued in the post.

may ~~advised~~ see with reference to this point also.


Hf.
22/9

Kk
22/9/53

In

In

Rev no. No 736974p
22-9-83



Finance (E.II)

The proposals in this file are:

(1) to sanction the continuance from 6-12-48 till 31-12-53 of the post of L.D. clerk originally sanctioned in G.O.No.2169, Rev., dated 16-9-47 in the Dy.Tahsildar Office, Hosdrug;

(2) to transfer permanently the posts of two Revenue Inspectors, two peons and one shroff from the permanent establishment of the Taluk Office, Kasargod to the Dy. Tahsildar's Office, Hosdrug; and

(3) to treat the conversion of the post of U.D. clerk in the Dy.Tahsildar Office, Hosdrug into that of an Accountant on Rs 110/- ordered in G.O.No.2169, Rev., dated 16-9-47 as permanent with effect from 1-2-49

Items (1) and (2) above: The proposal may be accepted subject to the suggestion that the principle of sanction may be applied to the proposal above.

Item (3) above: A permanent U.D. post was converted into that of an Accountant on Rs 110/- in 1947. The Collector has, however, treated it as a permanent conversion ~~coming into effect~~ and has made permanent arrangements. In the circumstances, the proposal may be accepted as a special case as a revision of the arrangements after this lapse of time is likely to entail hardship. ~~(It is proposed to take action separately against the persons responsible for the mistake.)~~

B on p 1 of

on p 2 about

(R)

K on p 2 of

in G.O.

2/10/53



L1
TR
6/10/53

Rev dip. 2
proposal at
the first gp. 2
above may
be accepted.

2/10/53

In no. 75513 E II-1 RR
3.10.53 MS
3.10.53

2/10/53

Rev.

Revenue Department.

To A.S.

Please see the notes from page 1 ante
and the notes in the linked file. A draft order
is submitted for approval. [A.C.B.E against
1-1-54 may be made to watch the T.B's
not awaited in part 4 of the draft order]

19
17.10

17
18.10

S
19/10/53

To H.S.

Please see the order
below which has not been issued.
The lower division clerk and the typist
whose posts were included in the
annexure to this order are also included
in the draft order in the top file. In
order that two different orders may not
issue on the same items and as I'm
have agreed to the retention of this
staff for a longer period in the top file
these two items may be deleted from
the annexure to the order below. The
A.G's objection and the record of enquiry
at (N) may also be returned to the
Board. The necessary amendments
have been made in the order below.
The order as now amended may
issue. (A call book entry as ordered
above will be ^{made} maintained).


14.10.53

h
14.10.53

Let the order typed careful

14/10/53

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Subject **DEC 1953**



Establishment - Temporary (South Kanara) Deputy Tahsildar's Office
Hosedrug Inclusion of temporary post in the permanent Est. ~~Office~~
Disciplinary action taken against those responsible - Report called for *h/71253*

To: Madras Ref.M.4664/53-2 dated 2-12-53

From

Sri M.R.S. Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue
Sri C.S. Krishna Moorthi, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Re:- From the Collector of South Kanara Ref.Co.A1.21766/52-6 d/22-7-53
G.O.Ms.3187, Revenue d/17-11-53.

REFERENCE

The attention of the Collector is invited to para 6 of his letter cited and para 4 of G.O.cited and he is requested to report regarding the disciplinary action taken against the persons responsible for the irregularity.

2. The records received from the Collector are returned herewith.

T. S. S. S.
for Secretary

✓ To the Collector of South Kanara (With records By R.1)
✓ Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras

RVK/3-12

1004
31253

*To U.S. Subd for perusal. Rev. Dept. is
Sh. Board's further report
in this matter may be awaited till
2-1-54 called for. May be lodged and stitched with the
G.O. at ⑤ [A.C.B.E against 1-1-54 has
KBN
15.12.53*

~~12~~ 6

been made to watch the Board's report
to PFI
the matter.]

DMO
17/12/03

h
16.12.03

h - Super - DM
Are not Call Bk
entirely in the summary
made only "this"
cases where 3 months
time is expected to be
taken for getting
reply? PL elucidate

DMO
17/12/03

Not: f up

To U.S.

~~Please see the Under Secy's~~
remarks on p. 2 ante, Under para 3.18(1)
of the Sectt. Office Manual, an entry in the
call book is made only if a further report is
expected not earlier than three months. If
the report is received within 3 months, the
entry in the call book is to be closed noting
the new current number in the last column
of the call book. In the present case, the call
was ^{already} noted for a date within 3 months as it
was based on the orders already taken in
the matter. ^{— please see page 494. (B)} For orders

(i) whether, in view of the fact that the
date of call is itself coming to a close, the
current now received may be kept open
to watch for the further report; or

(ii) whether this may be lodged and
stitched with the G.O. at (B) as already proposed.

KAN
19.12.53

~~9~~ 8

and the call opened on 1-1-54.

5
19.12.53
21

See
Hodge & Lopen on 1/1/54

Om
23/12/53

Revenue Dept.

{C.No.2183-GH/54)

Sub: Establishment - Temporary - (South Kanara) -
~~xxxxxx~~ Deputy Tahsildar's Office, Hosdurg -
L.D.C. continuance without sanction - Disciplinary
action.

h-5f

Ref: From the Bd. of Rev. B.P.Rt.4837 dt.20.9.55,

To U.S.

The facts of the case have been set out in para 3 of the Collector's ~~progrm~~ proceedings on p.8 c.f. The Bd. has now submitted its report with reference to para 4 of G.O.Ms.No.3187, Revenue, dated 17.11.53. In his proceedings on pp.7-8 c.f., the Collr. has examined in detail how the irregularity arose and who ~~were~~ ^{were} all responsible for it. In the circumstances stated by him, he has warned the officers to be more careful in future. The Bd. also considers that no further action is necessary. For orders whether the papers may be lodged and stitched with the G.O. at (P)

h-r (P)

h8cf
n5f

~~xxxxxx~~
3.10.55. 3.10.55.

~~xxxxxx~~
4/10/55.